

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DI

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/015,526	12/13/2001	12/13/2001 R. Dennis Nesbitt		4775	
24492	7590 01/13/2004	EXAMINER			
THE TOP-FLITE GOLF COMPANY, A WHOLLY OWNED			GORDON, RAEANN		
SUBSIDIARY P.O. BOX 901	OF CALLAWAY GOLF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
425 MEADO		3711	' _		
CHICOPEE, MA 01021-0901			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004 / 0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					51
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/015,526		NESBITT, R. DENNIS	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Raeann Gorden		3711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address	<b></b>
THE N - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the remaining date of the	136(a). In no event, howen oly within the statutory min will apply and will expire te, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	December 2001 .			
2a)[	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				its is
· _	on of Claims  Claim(a) 1.20 is/ore pending in the application				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation		
	Claim(s) 6 is/are allowed.	awii ii oi ii coi isidei	ation.		
l	, , _				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment		
	on Papers	or election require	ment.		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)🖾 .	The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or	b) objected to ∣	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	ed b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office ac	tion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been rece	eived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No	
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				
Attachmen	t(s)				
2)  Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 10	

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al (5,984,806). Regarding claim 1, Sullivan discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer is equivalent to applicant's outer core layer. The inner cover layer includes a highdensity region (figs 3 and 3B). As shown in the figures the high-density regions are located at the center of the golf ball, which is equivalent to applicant's location centered about the horizontal spin axis. Compare apps figure 3 and Sullivan's figure 3. Regarding claim 2, the high-density regions comprise a continuous or discontinuous band of high-density regions (see figures 4 and 8). Regarding claim 3, the band or high-density regions are disposed in the inner cover (apps outer core layer). Regarding claims 4 and 7-9, Sullivan discloses a band comprising two or more equally segmented parts as shown in the section of the golf ball in applicant's figure 10. Figure 8 of Sullivan displays a golf ball with two high-density bands that intersect in two locations. A section view of the golf ball would create a band comprising four equally segmented high-density regions identical to applicant's figure 10. Regarding claim 5, the weighting

material is visible along the ball exterior (col. 7, lines 50-52). Regarding claim 10, figure 9 of Sullivan discloses 4 high-density bands, which in section view is equivalent to a high-density band comprising 8 equally spaced high-density regions. The golf ball includes an outer cover layer (fig 1). Regarding claim 11, Sullivan discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer is equivalent to applicant's outer core layer. The inner cover layer includes a highdensity region extending around the longitudinal axis of the core perpendicular to the ball's spin axis. (figs 8). Regarding claims 12 and 13, the filler may be any type with a high specific gravity, such as tungsten, which has a specific gravity of 19.35 (see col. 25). Regarding claim 14, the high-density regions comprise a continuous or discontinuous band of high-density regions (4 and 8). Regarding claim 15, Sullivan discloses a band comprising two or more equally segmented parts as shown in the section of the golf ball in applicant's figure 10. Figure 8 of Sullivan displays a golf ball with two high-density bands that intersect in two locations. A section view of the golf ball would create a band comprising four equally segmented high-density regions identical to applicant's figure 10. Regarding claim 16, Sullivan discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer is equivalent to applicant's outer core layer. Regarding claims 17 and 18, the highdensity band is continuous metal band (fig 8). Col. 25 discloses metals such as aluminum (sp. 2.7) and nickel (sp. 8.9) used in the high-density region. Regarding claim 19, Sullivan discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer is equivalent to applicant's outer core layer. The

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

inner cover layer includes a high-density region (figs 3 and 3B). As shown in the figures the high-density regions are located at the center of the golf ball, which is equivalent to applicant's location centered about the spin axis. Compare apps figure 3 and Sullivan's figure 3. Regarding claim 20, the golf ball includes an outer cover layer. Although Sullivan does not disclose the horizontal spin axis of the ball the placement of the high density regions appears to be the same as applicant's. One of ordinary skill in the art would have varied the placement of the high density regions to achieve the desired spin characteristics.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 11-13, 16, 18, and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,261,193. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Art Unit: 3711

not patentably distinct from each other because the '193 patent anticipates the present invention.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 10-23-03 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,261,193 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

It is directed to a particular claim or claims, which is not acceptable, since "the disclaimer must be of a terminal portion of the term of the <a href="entire">entire</a> [patent or] patent to be granted." See MPEP § 1490.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-23-03 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive. The 102 rejection has been replaced with a 103 rejection because Sullivan fails to disclose the horizontal spin axis of the ball. Applicant argues the present invention is entirely different from the Sullivan patent. However, the invention as claimed does not appear to be different from Sullivan's golf ball. Applicant claims a high density region disposed along a common plane and centered about the horizontal

Application/Control Number: 10/015,526 Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

axis. From the figures disclosed by Sullivan, in particular figures 7 and 8, the high density regions would obviously rotate about the horizontal spin axis once put into motion. Applicant may file test data proving the high density regions shown by Sullivan are not centered about the horizontal spin axis.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Raeann Gorden

Examiner Art Unit 3711

rg January 9, 2004